PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 17 JAN 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY PCT

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
62397A				
International application No. PCT/US2004/033219	International filing date 07.10.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16.10.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC				
B01J21/06, B01J23/50, B01J23/66, C07D301/10				
Applicant				
UNION CARBIDE CHEMICALS & PLASTICS TECH et al.				
This report is the international pre Authority under Article 35 and train			International Preliminary Examining	
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
a. 🛭 sent to the applicant and to	o the International Bure	au) a total of 15 sheets	, as follows:	
	ng rectifications authori		nended and are the basis of this report e Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the	
sheets which supersed beyond the disclosure	de earlier sheets, but w		ders contain an amendment that goes ated in item 4 of Box No. I and the	
Supplemental Box. b. (sent to the International B	Rureau only) a total of (ii	ndicate type and numbe	r of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a	
sequence listing and/or tab	oles related thereto, in c	omputer readable form	only, as indicated in the Supplemental	
Box Relating to Sequence	Listing (see Section 80	2 of the Administrative i	nstructions).	
4. This report contains indications re	plating to the following it	ems:		
☐ Box No. I Basis of the opi	nion			
☐ Box No. II Priority				
☐ Box No. III Non-establishm	ent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability	
. D Box No. IV Lack of unity of				
		 with regard to novelty, supporting such statem 	inventive step or industrial ent	
Box No. VI Certain docume	ents cited			
<u> </u>	in the international app			
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observa	tions on the internation	al application		
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this	s report	
03.08.2005		16.01.2006		
-Norma mademailing address of the international		Authorized Office		
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:		Authorized Officer	Principal Principal	
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich		Gosselin, D		
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465				
		Telephone No. +49 89 23	399-040U	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/033219

_	Box No. I Basis of the report	
1.	With regard to the language, the filed, unless otherwise indicated	is report is based on the international application in the language in which it was under this item.
	This report is based on tran which is the language of a t	slations from the original language into the following language, ranslation furnished for the purposes of:
		der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ational application (under Rule 12.4) examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2.	With regard to the elements* of have been furnished to the recereport as "originally filed" and ar	the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which iving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this e not annexed to this report):
	Description, Pages	
	1-6, 9-11, 13-16, 20, 22, 24, 25	as originally filed
	7, 8, 12, 17, 17a, 18, 18a, 19, 19a, 21, 23, 26	received on 08.08.2005 with letter of 03.08.2005
	Claims, Numbers	
	1, 4-24	received on 08.08.2005 with letter of 03.08.2005
	☐ a sequence listing and/or an	ny related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3.	☐ The amendments have resu☐ the description, pages	ulted in the cancellation of:
	☐ the claims, Nos.	
	☐ the drawings, sheets/figs☐ the sequence listing (spe	ecify):
	☐ any table(s) related to se	
4.	☐ This report has been establi had not been made, since they h Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c))	shed as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the).
	 □ the description, pages □ the claims, Nos. □ the drawings, sheets/figs □ the sequence listing (specified any table(s) related to see 	ecify):
		ome or all of these sheets may be marked "supergoded"

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/033219

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

8-22

No: Claims

1-7,23,24

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-24

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-24

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: US-A-2 593 097 (BURT JANET T ET AL) 15 April 1952 (1952-04-15)
 - D2: US-A-5 145 824 (BUFFUM JOHN E ET AL) 8 September 1992 (1992-09-08)
 - D3: US-A-5 733 842 (REMUS DONALD J ET AL) 31 March 1998 (1998-03-31)
 - D4: EP-A-1 308 442 (NIPPON CATALYTIC CHEM IND) 7 May 2003 (2003-05-07)
 - D5: US-A-5 801 259 (KOWALESKI RUTH MARY) 1 September 1998 (1998-09-01)
 - D6: EP-A-0 480 538 (UNION CARBIDE CHEM PLASTIC) 15 April 1992 (1992-04-15)
 - D7: EP-A-0 393 785 (UNION CARBIDE CHEM PLASTIC) 24 October 1990 (1990-10-24)
- 2. The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 to 24 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) EPC or does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT in view of at least D1 alone or in combination with D2 (cf. the passages quoted in the search report).
- 3. Due to the absence of limitation in the definition of claim 1 of the application with regard to the zircon content in the carrier, D1 anticipates the subject-matter of at least claims 1 to 7, 23 and 24. These claims lack novelty in view of D1.

The preferred carrier of D1 consists of "a spinel type material, corresponding to the formula ZrO₂.SiO₂" (per definition a mixed oxide), which is a zirconium silicate, and silver is deposited on said carrier (column 2, lines 41-46). The catalyst is used for the same purpose.

D1 explicitly mentioned that the "spinel type material" is the preferred form(US-A-2 593 097). A spinel is a crystalline form of formula AB₂O₄; it is not an simple physical admixture of zirconia and silica, but a mixed oxide. It is assumed that this preferred

form is used in the example. D1 is not concerned with the preparation of the support per se. The arguments of the applicant filed with the letters of 03.08.2005 and 01.12.2005 concerning the conditions of preparations of the zircon are irrelevant.

- 3a. It is admitted that D1 does not disclose the addition of promoters according to claims 7 and 8, but the addition of such promoters is not an essential feature of the application and could be within the ambit of the skilled man in view of documents cited in the application, or D2 and some of the A-documents cited in the search report. The subject-matter of claims 7 and 8 should also not involve an inventive step in view of D1 in combination with i.a. D2.
- 3b. The remaining dependent claims do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step. At present the reasons supporting the inventive step of these claims are not apparent.

The applicant should be ready to indicate in the national or regional phase the difference of the subject-matter of the new claim vis-à-vis the state of the art and the significance thereof, i.e. the applicant should discuss the novelty and the inventive step following the problem/solution approach, or provide evidence that a technical effect, which could not be expected by a skilled man, has been obtained.

The technical problem to be defined should be defined over the disclosure of the closest prior art and further supported by the application documents as originally filed, otherwise it should be consider that the subject-matter of the application has been enlarged beyond the content of the application as originally filed (invention realised after the filing date)(Article 34(2)b) PCT). The Applicant should indicate the support for the technical problem in the application as originally filed.

- 4. The objections raised in view of D2 are with drawn in view of the arguments filed by the applicant with the letters of 03.08.2005 and 01.12.2005.
- 5. The fact that the applicant may have identified a technical effect which is due to the presence of same zirconium silicate in the carrier does not render the subject-matter

of these claims inevitably novel.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 1. The claims do not meet the requirements of Article 6 and Rule 6 PCT.
- 1a. The catalyst of claim 1 is both defined by:
 - a) the final use (manufacture of alkene oxide), and by
 - b) a result to be achieved (enhancement of certain properties as compared to similar catalysts, which does not comprise zirconium).

The presence of a) and b) does not unambiguously provide technical features, which could be considered for the examination of novelty and inventive step.

Moreover it is doubtful whether the improvement of the activity should not been measured by reference to a catalyst comprising as much zirconium (by reference to the metal) than a catalyst according to the application, but in an other chemical form than zirconium silicate (for example zirconia).

- 1b. The amendment filed by the applicant has overcome part of the discrepancy. The attention is drawn to the fact that claim 19 is based on page 8, lines 1-5, of the description. The features of claim 19 are disclosed in combination with a modified alpha-alumina carrier and the minimum purity of said alumina carrier. The purity and the nature of the support should a priori be introduced into the claim. The dependancy upon claim 1 does not seem to be consistent with the disclosure of page 8; claim 19 should be rendered dependent upon claim 18. In the present form the subject-matter of claim 19 is not supported by the application as originally filed contrary to Article 34(2)(b) PCT.
- 1c. The relative term "about" used in various claims has no well-recognised limits and leave the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical feature to which it refer, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear (Article 6 PCT; Guidelines, Chapter 5, 5.38). The term should be deleted, especially when

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/033219

used in combination with a range.